To: Solomon, Douglas[Solomon.Douglas@epa.gov]; Mathias, Scott[Mathias.Scott@epa.gov];

Wayland, Richard[Wayland.Richard@epa.gov]; Naess, Liz[Naess.Liz@epa.gov]; Palma,

Elizabeth[Palma.Elizabeth@epa.gov]; Jones, Rhea[Jones.Rhea@epa.gov]

From: Wayland, Richard Sent: Fri 7/18/2014 1:14:21 PM

Subject: Re: New recommendations for GA as of 8:30 am 7/18

I think it was 99's fault...

See you at noon.

Sent from Chet's BlackBerry.



(b)(5) Deliberative

Sorry about that chief.

From: Mathias, Scott

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 8:35 AM

To: Solomon, Douglas; Wayland, Richard; Naess, Liz; Palma, Elizabeth; Jones, Rhea

Subject: RE: New recommendations for GA as of 8:30 am 7/18

Yes, that is fine Mr. Smart.

Scott Mathias | Associate Director, Air Quality Policy Division | U.S. EPA, RTP, NC 27711 | 919.541.5310

From: Solomon, Douglas

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 8:35 AM

To: Mathias, Scott; Wayland, Richard; Naess, Liz; Palma, Elizabeth; Jones, Rhea

Subject: RE: New recommendations for GA as of 8:30 am 7/18

Scott,

Is it ok to leave already completed national map showing **Ex. 5 - Deliberative** and telling Janet areas were in flux when map was made. I might be able to fix in time, but it also increases already high chance that I screw something up.

Doug

From: Mathias, Scott

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 8:32 AM

To: Wayland, Richard; Solomon, Douglas; Naess, Liz; Palma, Elizabeth; Jones, Rhea

Subject: New recommendations for GA as of 8:30 am 7/18

Importance: High

I talked with Jeaneanne Gettle and she's going to gather more info per my request on Atlanta and Columbus (same Qs that Chet and I discussed with Doug at 3:30 pm yesterday). However, I think we can close agreement with R4 on the following recommendations:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

I will be changing slides 12 and 13 to reflect these recommendations.

Scott Mathias | Associate Director, Air Quality Policy Division | U.S. EPA, RTP, NC 27711 | 919.541.5310

To: Palma, Elizabeth[Palma.Elizabeth@epa.gov]

Cc: Keating, Martha[keating.martha@epa.gov]; Naess, Liz[Naess.Liz@epa.gov]; Baumgart-Getz,

Adam[Baumgart-Getz.Adam@epa.gov]; Solomon, Douglas[Solomon.Douglas@epa.gov]

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Mon 8/11/2014 4:22:35 PM Subject: RE: Monday Meeting

Thank you Beth and Adam!

I'll forward your note as is to Mike K.

From: Palma, Elizabeth

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 12:12 PM

To: Jones, Rhea

Cc: Keating, Martha; Naess, Liz; Baumgart-Getz, Adam; Solomon, Douglas

Subject: RE: Monday Meeting

Importance: High

Rhea -

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Adam Baumgart-Getz in Liz's group really stepped up this am in Doug's absence to generate the revised nationwide map (from scratch). Although it is in pretty good shape, you may want to pass along a couple of notes to Mike re the map:

●□□□□□□□ We i	1 1 11 1 1	. D' 1.1 T	TC TT' T 1	1	• .
Δ	ncluded both Pue	TO RICO and the L	Virgin lela	nde in a cina	e man incert
- 1	neruded both i de	to Kico and the c	JO VIIZIII ISIA	nus m a smg	ic may miscre

•□□□□□□□ Although we do intend to designate	Ex. 5	- Deliberative	as nonattainment,	these
counties are shaded in their entirety in the include	ed natio	onal map	•	

The pdf tables (all slightly revised from those I sent earlier) provide detail for the recommended nonattainment, deferred, and unclassifiable areas.

Beth

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 9:39 AM

To: Palma, Elizabeth **Cc:** Keating, Martha

Subject: RE: Monday Meeting

Hi Beth,

This looks good, but one quick observation. In the deferred area table, we only list the snow storm as the issue impacting incomplete data for the last area, but I thought that was a contributor at least in part for all the areas? If so, perhaps we should just indicate only "missing/invalid data" for it too, or add the fuller context for the other ares?

From: Palma, Elizabeth

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 9:03 AM

To: Jones, Rhea **Cc:** Keating, Martha

Subject: RE: Monday Meeting

Rhea –

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

I've also included the detail tables that identify the involved counties.

Beth

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 3:17 PM

To: Koerber, Mike

Cc: Mathias, Scott; Wood, Anna; Palma, Elizabeth; Keating, Martha

Subject: FW: Monday Meeting

That will work Mike. Thanks!

From: Koerber, Mike

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 2:48 PM

To: Mathias, Scott; Jones, Rhea

Cc: Wood, Anna

Subject: Monday Meeting

Scott, Rhea – We just learned that Janet is meeting with the Administrator at 5 pm on Monday to discuss the 120-day letters. Kristien suggested we give Janet some summary information that she may wish to use. My thought is to provide updated versions of the attached three slides. (I don't expect Janet to get into details about the current boundary discussions we are having with a number of Regions.) Let me know if you think any other slides would also be appropriate.

I realize that Scott is about ready to leave for vacation, but if you folks could get this in motion today, then we can plan to send the updated slides to Janet on Monday – say, mid-day. Okay?

Mike

To: Keating, Martha[keating.martha@epa.gov]

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Mon 8/11/2014 12:55:03 PM

Subject: FW: Documenting Deferred and Unclassifiable Area Decisions - Doc for Review

UnclassifiableTSDTemplateIntro GA mk sm.docx

Perhaps some of the revised language can be pulled into the SC and AL 120-day letters?

From: Mathias, Scott

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 2:27 PM

To: Jones, Rhea; Keating, Martha; Palma, Elizabeth

Subject: RE: Documenting Deferred and Unclassifiable Area Decisions - Doc for Review

Mine on top of the others.

Scott Mathias | Associate Director, Air Quality Policy Division | U.S. EPA, RTP, NC 27711 | 919.541.5310

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 1:58 PM

To: Keating, Martha; Palma, Elizabeth; Mathias, Scott

Subject: RE: Documenting Deferred and Unclassifiable Area Decisions - Doc for Review

My notes are included...

From: Keating, Martha

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 11:36 AM **To:** Palma, Elizabeth; Mathias, Scott

Cc: Jones, Rhea

Subject: RE: Documenting Deferred and Unclassifiable Area Decisions - Doc for Review

Hey Rhea, Beth and Scott -

I had a couple of edits and a question (attached).

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

mk

From: Palma, Elizabeth

Sent: Friday, August 8, 2014 10:35 AM

To: Mathias, Scott

Cc: Jones, Rhea; Keating, Martha

Subject: RE: Documenting Deferred and Unclassifiable Area Decisions - Doc for Review

Scott -

Here's my draft intro for the Unclassifiable TSDish documents (deferred memo to follow later today). I'm also attaching, as FYI, the summary spreadsheet, which has tabs for Nonattainment (column F identifies our intended counties), Deferred (column E identifies our intended counties), Unclassifiable (column F identifies our intended counties – note that the highlighted/identified counties for TN are unclassifiable/attainment vs unclassifiable), and Unclassifiable/Attainment w/ExEvents (nothing new here).

Once you have reviewed/revised the attached doc, I'll forward to Regions 4 and 5. Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Beth

From: Mathias, Scott

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 2:02 PM

To: Benjamin, Lynorae; Jones, Rhea; Palma, Elizabeth; Naess, Liz; Keating, Martha

Cc: Davis, Scott; Gettle, Jeaneanne

Subject: RE: Documenting Deferred Area Decisions

Yes, I think the approach for documenting TN as a whole is similar to what I described for the deferred areas, and should include information to support the conclusion that "EPA has reason to believe the neighboring state counties are attaining and not contributing to any potential nearby violation" in Tennessee (and it is unlikely that such a violation exists to start with).

Scott Mathias | Associate Director, Air Quality Policy Division | U.S. EPA, RTP, NC 27711 | 919.541.5310

From: Benjamin, Lynorae

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 1:08 PM

To: Mathias, Scott; Jones, Rhea; Palma, Elizabeth; Naess, Liz; Keating, Martha

Cc: Davis, Scott; Gettle, Jeaneanne

Subject: RE: Documenting Deferred Area Decisions

Thanks for the email with direction on this... we have some things put together because we initially thought we would have to put something together for these areas to support an unclassifiable designation so this should be easy to put together.

After the discussion today, it further illuminated for me that we need direction on what documentation we will need for Tennessee to support most of the state being unclassifiable and if we will need "extra" information for the multi-state areas to support making them unclassifiable/attainment. I think we have general agreement on all but Memphis at this point.

I hope your day is going well.

Lynorae

From: Mathias, Scott

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 11:33 AM

To: Gettle, Jeaneanne; Benjamin, Lynorae; Jones, Rhea; Palma, Elizabeth; Naess, Liz; Keating,

Martha

Subject: Documenting Deferred Area Decisions

Importance: High

We had a brief discussion just now about appropriate documentation for the areas that we are deferring, and the nearby areas that we are intending to finalize in 2014 as 'U/A'. Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

I note that

for the vast majority of the USofA where we are using 'U/A' we are NOT producing any kind of TSD. With that in mind I'm trying to lower the hurdle.

Document 1: Liz will be producing a memo to the docket regarding the data issues that give rise to the decision to defer certain areas.

Document 2: Memo to the docket containing a narrative similar to the Region 4 talking points for each of the 5 areas regarding EPA's conclusion for each surrounding 'U/A' county that "EPA has reason to believe it is attaining and is not contributing to a nearby violation".

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Scott Mathias | Associate Director, Air Quality Policy Division | U.S. EPA, RTP, NC 27711 | 919.541.5310

To: Keating, Martha[keating.martha@epa.gov]

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Thur 8/7/2014 5:30:49 PM

Subject: RE: PM designations and TSD review process UPDATE!

Yeah, just saw that. Ex. 5 - Deliberative

We won't be including intended designations for all areas, so we should tell them our plan for those areas. We can discuss- - I'm in a meeting from 1:30-2, so after that.

From: Keating, Martha

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 1:27 PM

To: Jones, Rhea

Subject: RE: PM designations and TSD review process UPDATE!

Please see my email to you that needs to go to R4 re: 120 letter revision for GA ...

Thanks

mk

Martha H. Keating

Geographic Strategies Group

Air Quality Policy Division

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (C539-04)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

(919) 541-9407

From: Jones, Rhea

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 1:23 PM

To: Palma, Elizabeth; Benjamin, Lynorae; Keating, Martha

Cc: Huey, Joel

Subject: RE: PM designations and TSD review process UPDATE!

Hi all,

Lynorae, in addition to the TSDs, we should have a plan for what the 120-day letters will say for GA and TN, (and impacted other states). Do you think you all will be able to inform this using the 120-day letter template and the draft memo Beth will share?

From: Palma, Elizabeth

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 12:34 PM

To: Benjamin, Lynorae; Keating, Martha; Jones, Rhea

Cc: Huey, Joel

Subject: RE: PM designations and TSD review process UPDATE!

Lynorae -

As indicated in Scott's attached email, we met after the McCabe briefing to discuss documentation needs for both deferred and unclassifiable areas. Scott's email provides detail on the deferred areas (I will take a first pass at this memo and forward to you for comment later today).

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

I'll take a look at your current

Georgia TSD and make modifications as needed given the guidance we received from Janet this morning. (I plan to let you know something on this by the end of the day, as well.) We'll follow the same process for TN – I think the version of the TN TSD in sharepoint focuses on the

Knoxville nonattainment area, so this will need to change.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Feel free to call if you have any questions. I'll be back in touch later today.

Beth

From: Benjamin, Lynorae

Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 8:58 PM

To: Keating, Martha; Jones, Rhea; Palma, Elizabeth

Subject: Re: PM designations and TSD review process UPDATE!

Hi Rhea/Martha/Beth,

We are wondering if a decision on what documentation we need for the TN are is necessary for the unclassifiable boundary. If we need something beyond the letters the monitoring group is putting together, we really need to know what that is very soon to be able to get that pull together. Thanks.

Also, some clarity on whether we need a TSD for deffered areas based on today's discussion would be helpful as soon as possible.

I hope your day is going well. Lynorae

From: Keating, Martha

Sent: Wednesday, August 6, 2014 4:26:17 PM **To:** 2012 PM NAAQS Designations Group **Cc:** Clancy, Maeve; Morales, Monica

Subject: PM designations and TSD review process UPDATE!

Hi All –

There have been a few questions asked about the TSD review and next steps. Here is some additional information and reminders:

Q: Do we edit in Sharepoint?

YES! All edits should be done in Sharepoint. This is the only way we can all be sure we are using the most current version. If you can use Word, you can use Sharepoint! Just be sure you are editing in "full Word mode" not the online Word version. It is exactly the same as desktop Word.

Q: Do we need to keep doing tracked changes for OGC? If so, can we accept the tracked changes in the 8/1/2014 versions and do tracked changes from there forward, or do we need to keep them all?

You may accept changes and edits as you go along. If you have a question or are unsure how to respond to a comment, please use tracked changes so it will not be overlooked. Feel free to also use comment bubbles to ask a question or respond to an edit if there is a potential issue. We would like OGC to see a relatively clean copy. EVERY version is automatically saved in Sharepoint so we don't have to worry about losing previous edits!

Q: Will there be information forthcoming about what to say in unclassifiable TSD about data quality issues?

Yes. We are working on it.

Q: When will 2013 DVs be ready so that we can update Table 2?

We will post the 2013 DVs as soon as they are ready. Will update on this as soon as we have more info.

Q: Will HQ add Figure 1 and Figure 5 to the revised TSDs?

If these are not ready in time for regions to revise these sections, HQ will do this in Sharepoint.

Other reminders and updates:

• The 120 letter template for **tribes** has been revised and a new version posted in the team room. Only the website link changed. Instead of the "state" link I sent last week, please use this link **for tribes**, (as appropriate – i.e., if there is a TSD for their area of

http://www.epa.gov/airquality/particlepollution/designations/2012standards/tribal.htm
We are still aiming for August 14 th for the roll-out. Please do not type this date on the 120 letter – wait to date stamp it just in case!
Technical questions should be sent to Mark Evangelista.
Regions need to provide all docket info that is needed to support the recommendation in the TSD.
All for the moment. Janet briefing is this afternoon and we are hoping for final boundaries!
Best,
Martha
Martha H. Keating
Geographic Strategies Group
Air Quality Policy Division
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (C539-04)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
(919) 541-9407

Indian country):